

ORIGINAL
FILED
07 SEP -6 PM 3:28
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Rebecca Edelson (No. 150464)
STEPTOE & JOHNSON, LLP
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: (310) 734-3200
Fax: (310) 734-3300
Email: redelson@steptoe.com

Steven K. Davidson (*pro hac vice* appl. to be submitted)
Scott Doyle (*pro hac vice* appl. to be submitted)
STEPTOE & JOHNSON, LLP
1330 Connecticut Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20036-1795
Phone: (202) 429-3000
Fax: (202) 429-3902
Email: sdavidso@steptoe.com
sdoyle@steptoe.com

E-filing

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WHA
C 07 4617

GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION)
(d/b/a Home & Mobility Networks), a)
Delaware Corporation,)

Plaintiff,

vs.

MACROVISION CORPORATION, a)
Delaware Corporation,)

Defendant)

Case No. _____

**NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF RELATED
ACTION**

1 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 3-13, Plaintiff General Instrument Corporation (d/b/a Home
2 & Mobility Networks) ("GI") hereby provides Notice of a Pending Related Action, and states as
3 follows:

4
5 1. This action is related to Macrovision Corp. v. Motorola, Inc., No. 07-04209 (SI),
6 which is currently pending in the San Francisco Division of this Court. In that case, Macrovision
7 claims that Motorola, Inc. (GI's parent company) is infringing seven patents purportedly related
8 to anti-copying technology offered by Macrovision, and that Motorola has breached a license
9 agreement between Motorola and Macrovision. In response, Motorola has filed declaratory
10 judgment counterclaims against Macrovision, asserting that the patents at issue are invalid and/or
11 unenforceable for various reasons, including patent misuse.
12

13 2. The Motorola case involves a material part of the same subject matter as this
14 action, because both the Motorola case and this case involve the same patents. In this action GI
15 seeks declaratory judgments that these patents are invalid and/or unenforceable for various
16 reasons, including patent misuse. In this regard, GI and Motorola's declaratory judgment claims
17 against Macrovision are identical.
18

19 3. In addition, the actions are related because Macrovision's claims against Motorola
20 relate to products sold by GI. More specifically, Macrovision claims that Motorola has breached
21
22
23
24
25

26 ///

27 ///

1 its license agreement with Macrovision by failing to pay royalties for products that are sold by
2 GI.

3 Dated: September 6, 2007

4 Respectfully Submitted,
5 STEPTOE & JOHNSON, LLP
6 Rebecca Edelson (No. 150464)
7 Steven Davidson*
8 Scott Doyle*

9 By: 

10 Rebecca Edelson (No. 150464)
11 Attorney for Plaintiff
12 GENERAL INSTRUMENT
13 CORPORATION

14 * Counsel seeking admission *pro hac vice*.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28